

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,836	09/21/2000	Norman G. Anderson	2316-141	1512
7	7590 05/18/2002			
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck 555 13th Street, N.W. Suite 701, East Tower			EXAMINER	
			LU, FRANK WEI MIN	
Washington, D	OC 20004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1634 DATE MAILED: 05/18/2002	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>-• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/666,836	ANDERSON ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Frank W Lu	1634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this a (1) a timely filed amendment	pplication. A proper reply which places the application	y to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). 	e later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejecti	ion.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for find the stater than three months after the states and the states after the states after the states are states after the states are states and the states are states as the states are states and the states are states are states as the states are states are states as the states are states are states are states as the states are states	ng amount of the fee. The apport reply originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or si	mplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claim	ıs.		
3 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s)				

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) allowed: _____.
Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: 83,84 and 92.

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

application in condition for allowance because: see attached action.

Application/Control Number: 09/666,836 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

ADVISORY ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. The purposed amendments filed on April 10, 2002 have been fully considered and will be entered.
- 2. In pages 3-5 of applicant's remarks, applicant argued that "[P]itcher et al. does not teach or suggest using the 'ultracentrifugation' step and tube as recited in Applicant's claims.".

This argument has been fully considered but it is not persuasive toward the withdrawal of the rejection because the claims do not define what kind of centrifuge tube can be considered as an ultracentrifuge tube and what range of centrifugation speed can be considered as ultracentrifugation. A eppendorf tube owns all properties of the centrifuge tube could be considered as an ultracentrifuge tube. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

3. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Frank Lu May 8, 2002

ETHAN C. WHISENANT PRIMARY EXAMINER